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Abstract
Lecturers of the Department of Nursing Science of the University of Pretoria (UP) were requested to participate in an informal evaluation of a software program. The software evaluated were developed as a tutorial by the author of this article. The content of the tutorial concerns the current issues of the new education and training dispensation in South Africa, and specifically how to compile unit standards to meet the requirements of the South African Qualifications Authority (SAQA) and the National Qualifications Framework (NQF).

The purpose of the evaluation was to assess the quality of the software. Participants were provided with a questionnaire, as well as criteria for the evaluation of software as presented in literature and information on the Web. The feedback has been useful and suggestions made by the participants have been used to improve and add to the product.

Introduction
Since the introduction of the Internet and the World-Wide-Web, information of all kinds became available to every person who is computer literate. To obtain information/knowledge is not the biggest issue, but rather what is being done with that information and how it is applied. The emphasis should now shift from obtaining information, to using the information/knowledge at one’s disposal to improve one’s skills. All information available on the Web is not necessarily of high quality and will not enhance learning. The same applies to software developed for educational purposes. Before any software can be incorporated into a program as part of lecturer or student support, it must first be evaluated.

The ideal evaluator/assessor would be somebody who is computer literate and who is a specialist in his/her subject. In order to ensure an objective assessment, more than one person should do the evaluation.

Problem Statement
The author has developed software, which is published on the Web. The subject of the software falls within the context of the new requirements of the South African government on education and training, namely The Compilation of Unit Standards as required by the National Qualifications Framework (NQF). All lecturers of the Department of Nursing Science at the University of Pretoria were invited to participate. The purpose of the software is for lecturers who have to develop curricula according to the SAQA requirements, to gain insight into the development of unit standards by first doing the tutorial which provides opportunity for exercises.

Background of the Problem
Computer literacy has become a key phrase in defining the aim of many educational institutions. It is, however, important to note that people must be literate, implying being able to read and write, before they will be able to become computer literate. Computers are limited in their teaching abilities, and man will always be the better teacher (Barlow, 1999). This statement can lead to many a debate, but the important issue here is that educational software will only be as good as the person who created it. Educational software/technology has a definite place in education as it allows students to use it as often as they deem necessary, especially if a lecturer is not available. Computer literacy has become part of the general frame of reference of many educators. Information associated with computers and the Internet is the new focus point in obtaining information and acquiring new skills.

Computer software can be employed as support tools to obtain information regarding subject matter pertaining to a myriad of educational situations. It also serves as an excellent tool for in-service training or continued learning for adults/lecturers as they can study the content of a specific software program in their own time, as well as repeat the lesson/tutorial as often as they would like to.

Instructional tools/tutorials demand an alter...
native way of thinking regarding the use of computers in education (Blignaut & Knoetze, 1999). Students must, however, not be forced to rely on computer skills only to meet the outcomes of programs. Educational software alone cannot influence the cognitive development of a student. Human intervention in the form of the educator is therefore imperative. What needs to be established is the quality of software used for continued learning or in-service training. All software programs do not contain useful information and are not necessarily user-friendly. Therefore, many instructional designers have written on the subject of evaluating software regarding the applicability of content, interface design, and usability. If one considers the computer and computer software as part of educational strategies, it could be described as "technological" assisted education. The role that technology should play in nursing education should be as Gentry (1987:3) describes it: "Technology should be the servant and not the master of instruction. It should not be adopted merely because it exists, or because an institution fears that it will be left behind the parade of progress without it".

Criteria for the evaluation of software

According to Trochim (1999:1), evaluation is probably mostly defined as "...the systematic assessment of the worth or merit of an object". However, evaluation does not always result in the assessment of worth. Trochim (1999:1) provides another, probably more accurate definition: "Evaluation is the systematic acquisition and assessment of information to provide useful feedback about some object." The "object" in this instance, is a web-based tutorial.

Evaluation/assessment of educational software is not an easy task. There are two reasons for evaluating/assessing software: 1) to improve the instructional possibilities and 2) to improve the quality of the software per se. The evaluation can be done in both an informal and formal manner (Hannafin & Peck, 1988: 279). Evaluation provides feedback, useful or otherwise. There are mainly two forms of evaluation namely formative and summative evaluation. Formative evaluation assists the development of an object, as it can be developed and improved as people evaluate and give feedback on the quality, applicability and usability of the object. Summative evaluation is the final evaluation regarding the impact of the object on outcomes (Trochim, 1999).

It is of the essence to establish whether or not specific software complies with set criteria, and also whether it will assist the user/learner/lecturer towards the achievement of pre-de-
The background contains a few stationary “ribbons” in shades of blue, with a “block” floating from left to right over the top third of the screen for approximately three seconds each time the user clicked on the “next” button. The font used for the slide show is Gill sans 32 in pale blue, with some of the slides with pop-up boxes created in Visual Basic, and containing definitions and explanations of the concepts of specific slides. The background of the pop-up boxes was medium blue, with the text in a pale blue font of Gill Sans 12. See Figure 2 to assist description.

The software evaluated

The author requested lecturers who are computer literate to volunteer for this project and five lecturers decided to participate. The participants were asked to evaluate the Web based tutorial. The tutorial consisted of the following parts:

- The Compilation of Unit Standards as required by the National Qualifications Framework (NQF) was created in Netscape Composer. It also contains a resource list at the end of the site, with Universal Relative Links (URL’s), providing the user with the opportunity of visiting the sites with information of SAQA.
- A Microsoft (MS) PowerPoint slide show, Compiling Unit Standards (A support tool), has been linked to certain sections of the tutorial. A glossary containing relative terminology was added to the HTML document. The purpose of this is to assist the user in understanding the paradigm shift regarding education and mastering the new educational “language”. The purpose of the slide show was to provide the user with additional information on the compilation of unit standards. The tutorial was available on the server of the University of Pretoria (UP), and therefore the participants, who have computers in their offices linked to the Intranet of the UP. They were assisted by the author in accessing the Intranet, and to work through the tutorial. No graphics were used in the creation of the web site. The “home” and “back” buttons were, however, animated. The background of the buttons reflected a light green, with the letters of the word “back” and “home” a darker green, and appearing one by one, again and again. The background colour of the site was dark orange, and the font used, Lucida sans Unicode 10 bold in royal blue. A one-pixel frame, with a cream background framed the headings of the different sections. The font that was used for the heading was in Parisian BT 24 bold. Links were made in bright yellow. See Figure 1 to assist description.

The MS PowerPoint slide show, Compiling Unit Standards (A support tool) linked to the site, was created on a Microsoft template, and the original green background changed to blue. The background contains a few stationary “ribbons” in shades of blue. According to Hannafin & Peck (1988:279), the evaluation of computer-assisted instruction is “an ongoing process that occurs continuously, drawing on a variety of information sources and techniques.”

Purpose of the study

The purpose of the study was to assess the quality of the software that was developed, and to improve the product if and where necessary.

Methods and procedures

Participants were provided with evaluation criteria. The evaluation form of Joseph (1999) was adapted and provided to assist them in the evaluation of the tutorial, as they had no prior knowledge regarding the criteria for the evaluation of software. Please see table one for these evaluation criteria. The software has been developed and finished in totality before the participants did the evaluation thereof. All five participants are computer literate, but on different levels. Please see table two in this regard. They did not find it too difficult to work through the tutorial. The participants were allowed to ask each other for assistance when they did not understand how to continue with the tutorial. They spontaneously asked the author for assistance when it was needed. After the participants have worked through the tutorial a focus group discussion took place to get feedback. Field notes were taken and added to the data obtained from the evaluation forms. During the focus group participants were allowed to talk to each other and therefore the clarity of the findings were enhanced. The roles performed by the five participants were that of evaluator, advisor and critic. The feedback on this software served a formative purpose, as the author of the web-based tutorial used the information to improve the product and it will be used for the development of future nursing educational software programs.

Table 2: Computer literacy level of lecturers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lecturer</th>
<th>No. 1</th>
<th>No. 2</th>
<th>No. 3</th>
<th>No. 4</th>
<th>No. 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average hours working on the computer per day</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used MS Word before</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used MS PowerPoint before</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use software sources for my students</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browsed the Internet before</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Evaluation criteria as adapted from that of Joseph (1999)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following general criteria for the evaluation of software found on the web-site of the Department of Library Studies and Educational Technology of the East Carolina University, were provided:

- The software program should:
  - Follow educationally sound principles of instruction
  - Use the computer’s capabilities advantageously
  - Provide interaction between user, computer and program
  - Provide sufficient information for the user to solve problems, reach conclusions, grasp a concept, and learn a skill or process”.

In addition to the following data collection and analysis, the evaluation of visual display, such as the use of:
- colour
- graphics
- menus
- icons

### Data analysis

#### Findings

**The Web-site**

#### Negative aspects:

- The default setting of the font of the computers of the participants influenced the opinion regarding legibility, the reason for this being that one participant’s opinion regarding the font size was that “the letters were too small and reading difficult”. It seemed that the font was set as Times New Roman 10 regular and that the font used for the site was Gill sans 12 bold. The participant was, however, of the opinion that it might be the background and font colours causing the illegibility.

- One participant was actually expecting a little more “excitement”. She was, however, the participant with the most computer experience. She was obviously used to browsing the Internet, although the author doubts whether the sites visited by this participant had all been educational in nature.

- The animated home and back buttons bothered only one participant. Her comment was that the flickering was “distracting”.

- The grammar was bothering two participants as one found a spelling mistake, and the other found the sentences to be abrupt.

- Most of the participants requested a contact link to the author on the site but the author deemed this unnecessary. The soft-
The smallest accredited unit of learning on the NQF. Are nationally agreed and internationally comparable statements of outcomes and their associate performance/assessment criteria together with administrative and other necessary information.

Negative aspects:
The majority of the comments on the slide show were positive:
- Good colour combination
- Information relevant, applicable and correct
- Font type and colour makes reading easy

Positive aspects:
The majority of the comments
- Colour combination discriminates well between main and sub ideas
- Generally user-friendly
- Like the resource links
- Information correct, logical and factual
- Favour the colour combination
- Background not overbearing
- Font type legible

Changes
The following changes were made to the html document:
- An informative, more personal introduction that addresses the user was written called Compiling unit standards according to the South African Qualifications Authority (SAQA) and the national Qualifications Framework (NQF). The background is white with the characters in a font of bold Gill Sans of 12 points. A link to the html document called The compilation of Unit standards as required by the National Qualifications Framework (NQF) is provided at the bottom of the screen (see figure 3).
- The sitemap of the html document was changed completely. It was given a white background. The heading was put in a frame of one pixel with a blue background and the title in white in a font of Gill Sans bold 20 points. An icon was used for aesthetic purposes before every link to the different parts of the document (see figure 4). For the text Gill Sans in bold point 12 in blue was used.
- A completely different template of MS
PowerPoint was used for the slide show. The template looks like a notebook. The colours are different shades of brown. The font used is Arial in brown in a large point size. The slides contain navigational links (see figure 5).

To assist the user in gaining additional information regarding unit standards, buttons to open text boxes containing that information was designed on the slides (see figure 6).

The following additions were made to the html document:

- A Microsoft Access database, Compiling a Unit Standard (A support tool), that provides in formation as well as an opportunity to practice writing unit standards (see figure 7). This tool was also provided with buttons that can open text boxes containing information on aspects of unit standards (see figure 8). After every exercise the user can clear the form and start over again.

- A Microsoft Word document, Guidelines for using the Access software to compile a unit standard, to guide the user on how to use the support tool created in MS Access (see figure 10).

To enable the user to use the MS Access tool it was necessary to provide the user with guidelines. These are provided in the MS Word document. A link on the html file provides information and a link to the document (see figure 9).

### Conclusion

The evaluation that was done has been a positive experience for both the author of the software, as well as the participants. The web site called The Compilation of Unit Standards as required by the National Qualifications Framework (NQF) has been improved. The initial tutorial lacked interactivity and opportunity to practice. The evaluation of the tutorial resulted in a valuable learning experience for the author. The author is of the opinion that there is definitely a place for tutorials of this nature in a personnel development or further education programme. This tutorial can be viewed at http://hagar.up.ac.za/catts/learner/1999/meyer_sm/m_ed/opdragte/oro/unitinfo.htm

### References


The purpose and the value of a qualification can be understood as an answer to the question "Why?" as in "Why this qualification?" The SAQA format for registering unit standards and qualifications requires that the purpose be stated explicitly. This statement of purpose should specify the applied competence to be achieved and link this directly to an academic area or field of learning and an occupational role. In addition, the purpose should include an explanation of the need for the qualification. The purpose and value of the qualification provides a means by which to classify, select and integrate the outcomes.

**Figure 9:** The information and link on the html tool to the MS Word document

**Figure 10:** A Microsoft Word document to guide the user on how to use the support tool created in MS Access
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