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ABSTRACT
A study was conducted to assess clinical performance of registered nurses who had recently completed the new comprehensive basic nursing course. This regional project was undertaken because of controversy surrounding clinical competence of the graduates/ diplomates of the new regulation course. Senior qualified nurses gave their views according to Likert Scale statements and open-ended questions. Findings were that the sample was of the opinion that clinical performance of the new graduates/ diplomates falls short of expectations.

INTRODUCTION
In this study an attempt was made to assess the clinical performance of graduates and diplomates of the recently introduced Basic Nursing Course and to establish if the course prepared them adequately. The course prepares candidates for rendering a service as professional nurses in the general, psychiatric and community health fields as well as in the capacity of midwife. It is a four year course offered as from 1986 by Universities as a degree and by Colleges as a Diploma nursing course.

ORIENTATION
In 1985 the South African Nursing Council passed regulations providing for introduction of the four year course at all nurse training institutions. Such a course would prepare student nurses for registration with the South African Nursing Council (S.A.N.C.) as a nurse (general, psychiatric, community health) and midwife. In this study, this course will be referred to subsequently as the “new regulation” course. It replaced and was an improvement on the previous Basic Nursing Courses (hereafter referred to as the “Old regulation” course) whereby a candidate could take up to a minimum of seven years to acquire the same four basic nursing qualifications.

The latter course made for thorough grounding in practical nursing skills of each nursing speciality but proved to be expensive in the long run, time consuming and involved overlapping and duplication of subject matter. The new course is integrated so as to ensure relatively faster production of nurse practitioners who are competent in the four nursing specialities. It also was streamlined to fit into the tertiary education system of the country and to be in line with similar developments in countries like the United Kingdom and Australia.

PROBLEM STATEMENT
It is estimated that the first graduates and diplomates of the new regulation course started practising as qualified nurses as from 1990. When this Basic Course was introduced there were differences of opinion among registered nurses as to whether such a course would be effective in producing competent nurse practitioners in view of its diminished clinical learning experience. This is illustrated by, among others, Armstrong (1987) referring to this course as an “unwanted pregnancy”. As the newly qualified nurses take up employment the controversy continues at grassroots level. There are nurses who have reservations about the quality of nursing care rendered by the newly qualified nurses. Against the background of the SANC scope of practice of the registered nurse and of the terminal course objectives of the new regulation course set by some institutions, the question being asked is: “Do the newly qualified nurses really fall short of expectations in their clinical performance?”

If the negative allegations can be proved to be false then it is time the “ghost” of poor quality care by these graduates/diplomates is laid to rest.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY
The goal of this investigation was to ascertain the extent to which products of the new regulation basic course meet expected competence in clinical nursing practice wherever they are employed. Specific objectives were to:-

- identify problems experienced by clinical personnel in working with these graduates/diplomates
- identify strengths in clinical performance of the new regulation course products

ASSUMPTIONS UNDERLYING THE STUDY
This investigation was based on the assumption that:-

- one of the best sources of information would be the opinions of senior or older nursing personnel working closely with the graduates/diplomates of the new regulation course
- the senior/older nurses are familiar enough with the objectives of the new regulation course to make a realistic assessment of the quality of its products.

LITERATURE REVIEW
The Historical Background

Historically the major problem in nursing world wide is how to provide nursing services in the quantity demanded and quality needed (Creevan, 1969). Quality nursing practice depends upon quality nursing education.

As far back as 1952, the World Health Organisation (WHO) working conference on nursing education suggested that the basic need in most countries and especially in developing countries, was for nurses capable of providing total nursing care both in hospital or home and who could integrate preventive and curative services in any setting (Mooneyhan, 1979). Then came the time when emphasis was placed on professional nurses functioning in an expanded or extended role not withholding that not all nurses had been prepared formally for such functions.

As an attempt to hasten production of nurses who could function in more than one speciality, in the 1970s the SANC passed regulations for combined courses such as:-

- General nursing and midwifery
- General nursing and psychiatry.
Even in those days older members of the nursing profession had reservations about the clinical competence of nurses educated and trained through these combined courses (Mashaba, 1985). In 1985 the SANC passed regulations providing for the institution of the comprehensive course combining the four nursing specialities (South African Nursing Council R425, 1985).

The new four year basic course was introduced for the first time in 1986 by all Colleges of Nursing and Universities and the first graduates and diplomates of this course took employment in 1990. The undercurrent of uneasiness about clinical competence of this new generation of nurses led to the undertaking of this study of the assessment of clinical performance of graduates/diplomates of the new basic course.

DEFINITION OF TERMS

Assessment means measurement of an ability to carry out a specified task. It is a generalisation made on the basis of an observation of events (Katz and Snow, 1980; Freeman and Byrne, 1976; Girot, 1993).

Performance focuses on the total behaviour of a health worker or the whole range of knowledge, skills and attitudes acquired through training as well as their organisation and integration in practice (Ziv, Ehrenfeld, Kurtzman and Hadani, 1990). In this project performance assessment must be understood to carry the above-stated meaning, that is, measurement of the nurses' ability to behave as to demonstrate knowledge, skills and attitudes acquired through education and training. Assessment will be used interchangeably with evaluation and appraisal.

SIMILAR STUDIES

Assessing student performance after graduation is an important aspect of programme evaluation (Howard, Hubelbank and Moore, 1989). Urden (1989) recommends on-going evaluation at various levels or stages of career development. The first level is soon after graduation on initial clinical placement, when performance should be marginally acceptable. Then comes the level where the graduate has had 2-3 years of clinical experience, at which the graduate should be competent. After 3-5 years experience another evaluation should be done, in which the practitioner should be proficient.

End of the course assessment at which examiners decide to pass or fail the student is good, but according to de Jager (1990) it shows performance only in respect of specific occasions, and it bears little resemblance to the practitioner's performance on daily activities. The initial clinical exposure of a newly employed new graduate is period of adjustment and adaptation (Speedling, Ahmadi and Kuhn-Weismann, 1981).

Research studies on evaluation of clinical performance in relation to the recently completed course of study include those by Bassett (1993); Freeman and Byrne (1976); Howard et al (1989); Brasler (1993); Katz and Snow (1980); Corner and Wilson-Barnett (1992); Olsson and Gullberg (1987) and Girot (1993).

APPROACHES TO PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT

According to literature various approaches have been used. Self-evaluation by the group being studied was used by Speedling et al (1981); Ehrenfeld, Ziv and Bergman (1993); Deane and Campbell (1985); Olsson and Gullberg (1987) and Pierce (1991). An approach which used views of experienced qualified sisters about new graduates performances was employed by Girot (1993); Ziv et al (1990) and Brasler (1993).

The foregoing shows that performance evaluation of newly qualified nurses is a contemporary issue. Post-graduate performance appraisal is necessary to establish whether or not the nurses are dependable, appropriately educated, ready to respond to patients' demand for nursing and to emergencies (Bassett, 1993).

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Tyler's model of traditional clinical evaluation which begins with objectives of the educational programme must be challenged (Malek, 1988). This rational paradigm may be substituted or complemented by responsive evaluation based on perceptions of other stakeholders concerned about graduate performance. Responsive evaluation constitutes a natural paradigm. Sarnecky (1990) subscribes to this view and advocates fourth generation evaluation or responsive model, evolved by Guba and Lincoln. In this study the natural paradigm was adopted through seeking opinions of at least one group of stakeholders, ie qualified senior nurses working with the newly qualified nurses.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Assessment is realistic if the concept to be assessed is operationalised. Narayanasamy (1991) maintains that performance indicators be stipulated to test performance before, during and after acquisition of a nursing degree/diploma.

In operationalising "clinical performance" this concept was reduced to the following constituent variables:-

- bedside nursing practice
- ward administration
- clinical teaching
- ethical conduct/responsibility

INDICATORS

Performance indicators reported on a 5-point Likert Scale of never, rarely, sometimes, often and always, were as follows:-

a) Bedside nursing practice indicators:
- identification of problems
- determining priorities
- dexterity in nursing skills
- evaluating care
- revising care plans
- application of knowledge
- independent practice
- respect of dignity and uniqueness of man

b) Ward administration indicators:
- anticipate workflow problems
- identify resources
- implement actions to accomplish tasks
- implement appropriate action
- direct activities
- evaluate performance

c) Ethical conduct/responsibility indicators:
- adhere to regulations, laws and policies
- maintain confidentiality and privacy
- accomplish professional goals

d) Teaching function indicators:
- sensitivity to need to teach
- skill in imparting knowledge
- effectiveness in teaching

The data collecting instrument focused on the above-mentioned indicators in respect of each variable.

COLLECTION OF DATA

The setting was the province or region in which the researchers were resident. A cross-sectional descriptive survey was used to collect data. The target population was all experienced qualified nurses currently serving in the health service of this province. The convenience sample was employed in that the research tool was distributed to all those qualified nurses who were willing to participate and were accessible to the researcher and those indirectly accessible.
through other colleagues. Qualified nurses who were products of the new regulation course were excluded.

A questionnaire was designed, given to two experts to assess face validity and was pilot tested. Although 200 questionnaires were distributed, only 144 were completed and returned, a return rate of 72%. Out of these, 9 were spoiled so eventually 135 questionnaires were processed.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

Analysis of data was manual in respect of open-ended questions and by computer for the rest of the items.

**RESPONSES TO LIKERT SCALE ITEMS**

The Likert Scale ratings of never, rarely, sometimes, often and always were given a numerical value of 1 to 5. The maximum possible score was 100 as 20 statements required response; total scores ranged from 35 to 100 with a mean of 73. Responses of Group I (respondents above the mean) and of Group II (respondents below the mean) were examined for significant correlations.

Responses under the variable Nursing Practice are reflected in Figures 1 to 3. It is significant that a rating of "never" was made by a substantial number of respondents in respect of indicators 1 (27.7%), 2 (17.8%), 7 (33.3%) and 8 (20%). By comparison indicator 5 "revising care plans..." appears to be practised better than other activities in view of the fact that 6.7% rated this as getting done "always"; 24.4% said this is done "often" and 28.9% said this is done "sometimes". In summing up the ratings, (Figure 3) Nursing practice as a variable appears to be performed "rarely" according to the majority (38%) of the sample. According to a minority (2%) the same activities are practised "always". This does

**TABLE 1 : RESPONSES TO OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS: STRONG POINTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATTRIBUTE</th>
<th>FREQUENCY</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teaching of subordinates</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>64.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displaying aspects of good quality nursing</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervising subordinates</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delegating duties</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocating duties</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respect for dignity of patients</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application of the nursing process</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displaying loyalty</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching patients</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drawing a teaching programme</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doing nursing procedures</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1**

Bar graph showing performance ratings of new nurses in respect of specific indicators 1 to 4 under the variable: Nursing Practice

**Figure 2**

Bar graph showing performance ratings of new nurses in respect of specific indicators 5 to 8 under the variable: Nursing practice

**Figure 3**

Pie diagram showing the overall performance rating of new nurses in respect of the variable: Nursing practice
not speak well of the performance of the newly qualified nurses.

In correlating responses of Group I and II the Pearson P.M. Correlation co-efficient was found to be significant: $r = 0.942; df = 55; p < 0.01$. In this variable the mean for Group I and II was 34.47 and 23.63 respectively.

Assessing the quality of Management skills or Ward administration as a variable evoked responses shown in Figures 4, 5, and 6. Apparently most management activities/indicators are performed predominantly either "sometimes" or "rarely" by the newly qualified nurses. Doing an activity "always" was non-existent for indicators 4, 5, and 6 and was scanty for indicators 1, 2, and 3. It is worth noting that a small portion (6.7%) of the sample rated performance of indicators 7: "evaluation of performance...", 8: "appropriate corrective action," and 9: "contributing to efficiency of the unit" as getting done "always".

The summary of ratings for this variable (Figure 6) was such that the majority (37%) of the subjects regarded the activities of Management as getting done predominantly "sometimes". Performing these skills "always" was endorsed by a minority (3.9). This is equivalent to satisfactory performance by the new nurses. In this variable the Pearson P.M. correlation co-efficient between the two groups was significant.

Responses for the variable Responsibility can be seen in Figures 7 and 8. The rating of "rarely" was made by many respondents in all indicators. A disturbingly high number of subjects (57.1%) maintained that indicator 2: "maintenance of confidentiality" is "never" done by the new nurses. One wonders if this is not concomitant with the general lowering of standards in a society that is getting more permissive. Combined ratings of this variable re-affirm that "rarely" or scanty performance of this aspect is predominant, that is, by 35.6% of the sample.

**TABLE 2: THEMES OF WEAK POINTS IN THE PERFORMANCE OF NEWLY QUALIFIED NURSES:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ATTRIBUTES</th>
<th>FREQUENCY</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fails to contribute ideas for improvement</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance themselves in problem matters</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortage of staff makes it impossible for them to teach</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack initiative and motivation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not accept corrections</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not participate in inservice education</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of confidence</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Another negative signal is that the rating of "always" was made by a negligible number (2.2%) of the respondents. The Pearson P.M. correlation coefficient between the two groups was r = .79; df = 46; p (.6). Means were 13.93 and 9 for Groups I and II respectively.

Lastly, responses for the variable: teaching are illustrated in Figure 9. More than half (51.8%) of the sample maintained that teaching is done "sometimes" and 5.2%, (the highest so far) perceive teaching to be done "always." In comparing the performance of this variable with all the previous ones, teaching appears to be done relatively well by the newly qualified nurses.

RESPONSES TO OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS

Content analysis of qualitative data yielded themes and categories. A distinction was made between manifest themes (phrases/description) and latent themes and significant meanings. The respondents' remarks amounted to strong points (Table 1) and weak points (Table 2) shown by the newly qualified nurses.

The list of strong points is longer than that of weaknesses. This may suggest that although in the Likert Scale items the new nurses were found to range between weak and satisfactory, the respondents cannot put their finger on the exact trouble spots, which constitutes weaknesses.

DISCUSSION

Findings of this study show that the qualified experienced nurses in the sample view the performance of newly qualified nurses in a somewhat poor light. It must be borne in mind that the former group of nurses was trained according to the old regulations. The latter group was trained and educated under new regulations and therefore under different circumstances.

The sample indicated that the nursing practice of newly qualified nurses is predominantly poor, though not all of them. This is disturbing. It would be interesting to find out what the patients who are recipients of this service have got to say. Management skills were perceived to range from satisfactory to poor. It is possible that some of the newly qualified nurses regard administrative work as more acceptable than slogging at the bedside of the patient. It is a cause for concern that in respect of responsibility newly qualified nurses' performance was regarded as mainly poor; irresponsible nurses can be a hazard to patients. Apparently these nurses are an asset when it comes to teaching. This function was regarded as ranging from satisfactory to good.

It may be concluded that the products of the new regulation course are not as competent as expected by experienced qualified nurses in the sample. The new nurses' performance has a number of strengths as well as a number of
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weaknesses which gives problems to the older clinical staff. Collectively, the quality of their clinical performance ranges from satisfactory to poor, with teaching being relatively the best area and responsibility being relatively the poorest area.

In drawing this conclusion it must be borne in mind that the new regulation course is broad, comprehensive and includes four nursing specialties, accomplished within a relatively short span of 4 years. Nursing is as wide as the whole range of human experience. It is not possible in the classroom to teach every contingency obtained in the clinical situation. Therefore, at the end of their course, these candidates are expected to be minimally competent.

The views and opinions of the older qualified nurse cannot be dismissed or taken lightly. However, on the other hand Speedling et al (1981) says the initial clinical exposure of a newly hired, new graduate is a period of adjustment and adaptation. Urden (1989) maintains that there are various levels of career development. The first level is the initial clinical placement where the performance should be marginally acceptable; then after three years of clinical experience the graduate should be competent; after five years the practitioner should be proficient. Further, Bassett (1993) observes that there may be a shortcoming in the performance of new nurses due to the reduction in the time spent with patients during the formative months of training. This writer says further that senior or older nurses view the young nurses in the light of values possessed by themselves. Bassett (1993) therefore is implying that in accepting responses of senior nurses about new nurses' quality of work, room should be made for conservative tendencies, ingrained notions and general resistance to change.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Due to the limited size of the sample, these findings cannot be generalised to the rest of the target population. Nevertheless this study serves as a preliminary step and lays a foundation for further studies. It is significant in that it sounds a warning along the lines indicated by de Jager (1990). This writer points out that end of the course examination is not a perfect indicator of the ability of the nurse to function effectively in an everyday nursing situation. That being the case, good examination results and a high pass rate should not make nursing school authorities comfortable and compliant about their graduates. It is therefore, recommended that:

- support and clinical orientation be routinely and actively provided for newly appointed new nurses for the sake of patients
- on the job performance assessment of graduates and diplomates of the new regulation course be institutionalised.

Nursing school authorities should routinely follow up and assess performance of their graduates in their new jobs, in collaboration with clinical or service authorities.

- the nursing profession to seriously consider systematic knowledge and skills development in clinical practice as advocated by Urden (1989). This is a model whereby graduates are evaluated on being employed for the first time for performance that is marginally acceptable. About three years later, in a similar setting they are evaluated for competent performance and five years later, for proficient performance.

- older nurses be oriented to judge clinical performance of nurses of the new regulation in a realistic and objective manner.
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