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Abstract

The process for the establishment of a community development programme between three partners, namely the community of Mangaung, the University of the Orange Free State and the Health Department of the Free State is discussed from the beginning. The phases of the process, the related stumbling blocks, the reasons for success, the scope of the programme, as well as the extent to which the three partners benefited from it, are discussed.

Opsomming

Die proses vir die daarstelling van 'n gemeenskapsontwikkelingsprogram tussen drie vennote, te wete die gemeenskap van Mangaung, die Universiteit van die Oranje-Vrystaat en die Departement van Gesondheid van die Vrystaat word van meet af aan bespreek. Die fases van die proses, die verbandhoudende struikelblokke, die redes vir sukses, die omvang wat die program aangeneem het, sowel as die mate waarin die drie vennote daarby gebaat het, word uitgewys.

Introduction

In order to develop a strong and powerful South Africa, it is very important that we develop strong and powerful communities, partnerships and regions. The concept of community development in health programmes emerged from the 1978 Alma Ata Declaration of primary health-care. The declaration projected community involvement as the pivot upon which the success of the primary health-care approach to health-care delivery rests (Chimere-Dan, 1996). This Declaration provided the world with ethical precepts, political imperatives and technical direction. What was not given was the conceptual framework and policy guidelines on how community development in health programmes would operate.

The University of the Orange Free State was also faced with this dilemma when a call for proposals for funding of community development/partnerships programmes was announced by the W.K. Kellogg Foundation in 1991. The Foundation placed a high priority on the establishment of innovative, comprehensive, affordable primary care oriented health-care centres. The assumption was made that this long-term strategy depends on partnerships between institutions and communities that will adapt health personnel education to better prepare personnel for delivering such services. "The absence of academic, community-based, primary health-care centres which can integrate the functions of care, research and teaching and that would balance the excellent tertiary-oriented models which already exist" was stated as an overriding problem by the Foundation.

Related to the abovementioned sentiments, but at a later stage, the White Paper on Higher Education (1997) summarized the transformation of higher education in the country as:

• increased and broadened participation;
• responsiveness to social interests and needs; and
• cooperation and partnerships in governance.

According to the Final Report on Community Service in Higher Education (1998) the benefit yielded by community service programmes in higher education depends on the following factors:

• The articulation between the programmes and the curricula with which they are associated.
• The orientation of leadership in the higher education institution towards being more socially responsive through teaching and research.
• The extent to which the
programmes provide a site for the realization of teaching and research goals.

- Programme design and management.

Although the abovementioned educational approaches were not comprehended to the same extent in the early nineties, the academic staff of the then Faculty of Medicine (Faculty of Health Sciences since 1997) and Faculty of Social Sciences of the University of the Orange Free State were keen to become involved in an initiative that could address the shortcomings in health-care delivery, as well as the education of health-care professionals. To this effect the development of the Mangaua-University of the Orange Free State Community Partnership Programme (MUCPP) was envisaged.

With hindsight on the progress made, it becomes clear that the initiatives of the University in the early nineties related closely to the determining factors of benefit to partners.

Partner-related

Problem Statement

The Free State is the central inland province of the Republic of South Africa with Bloemfontein/Mangaua as the provincial capital with an estimated population of 300 000 people in 1991. As a consequence of the historical development of Bloemfontein and the policy of apartheid, most of the black population lived in Mangaua, a geographically separate area adjacent to Bloemfontein. The name Mangaua means "the lair of the leopard." The town has been in existence since 1861 and is the largest and closest residential area for black people who had a significantly lower standard of living than the majority of the white population then living in Bloemfontein.

The lack of appropriate infrastructure in Mangaua together with a lack of provision of adequate basic health and primary health-care services, created a situation in which a very high percentage of patients in need of even very basic health-care services utilized the services of a tertiary referral teaching hospital. In addition to the relative unavailability of appropriate primary health-care facilities, research also indicated that the perception of the community generally was negative, particularly with regard to accessibility of services as well as the sensitivity of staff to the health-care needs of patients (Pretorius 1991). Rapid urbanisation took place after the removal of regulations restricting the free movement of black people. The resultant population influx and an increase in informal settlements in Mangaua created an increased demand for health-care services.

The policy of the local University of the Orange Free State excluded black students until the late eighties. In addition to this restriction the language of tuition until 1993 was predominantly Afrikaans, which is the third language of most black students. Primarily for these reasons the University was not accessible to the community of Mangaua and its credibility was jeopardized. Besides these drawbacks most of the black candidates came from disadvantaged school backgrounds and were therefore not equipped to meet the selection criteria of the University.

The lack of appropriate infrastructure in Mangaua together with a lack of provision of adequate basic health and primary health-care services, created a situation in which a very high percentage of patients in need of even very basic health-care services utilised the services of a tertiary referral teaching hospital. In addition to the relative unavailability of appropriate primary health-care facilities, research also indicated that the perception of the community generally was negative, particularly with regard to accessibility of services as well as the sensitivity of staff to the health-care needs of patients (Pretorius 1991). Rapid urbanisation took place after the removal of regulations restricting the free movement of black people. The resultant population influx and an increase in informal settlements in Mangaua created an increased demand for health-care services.

The policy of the local University of the Orange Free State excluded black students until the late eighties. In addition to this restriction the language of tuition until 1993 was predominantly Afrikaans, which is the third language of most black students. Primarily for these reasons the University was not accessible to the community of Mangaua and its credibility was jeopardized. Besides these drawbacks most of the black candidates came from disadvantaged school backgrounds and were therefore not equipped to meet the selection criteria of the University.

The training of health-care professionals at the University was primarily hospital-based and students therefore had little exposure to primary health-care services to patients or to taking care of their total health-care needs.

Past policies also created a situation in which mutual understanding between race groups and communities was lacking. Academics and health professionals as well as disadvantaged communities therefore required development. The development of all role players was consequently crucial to support the philosophy of and to become involved in community partnerships and development.

The above disempowerment of the partners which resulted from the historical realities of South Africa was important when considering the possibility of a true partnership between the black community of Mangaua, the University and the health-care authorities responsible for the rendering of health-care services in the area.

Programme Outline

And Development

The programme development was process-oriented including wide-ranging consultation with and involvement of the three partners, namely the academic staff of the University, the members of the community of Mangaua and the health authorities. Although the programme development is/was clearly phased it was apparent from the initial phase that the respective processes would follow the lines of horizontal strands and would be ongoing, and would therefore overlap. Evaluation of the programme and legal advice to the partners commenced during phase 2 and was ongoing thereafter. The five phases and aims of the programme were: PHASE 1 - Exploration, April 1991 to September 1991

The programme was initiated in April 1991 when, at the invitation of the Kellogg Foundation, the academics of the Faculties of Medicine and Social Sciences were invited to a meeting by the Head of the Department of Pediatrics and Child Health at the University of the Orange Free State, to discuss the possibilities of a project proposal involving the University in rendering a primary health-care service to an identified community. An Academic Workgroup was constituted and after a series of meetings a proposal was submitted at the end of May 1991. At this stage the input of the academics was dominant. The initial proposal was accepted, and seed funding was provided for developing the final proposal for full programme funding.

PHASE 2 - Building a partnership, September 1991 < ongoing

The community members and community leaders of Mangaua were invited to a series of meetings in order to participate in the project. The aims of a programme related to community empowerment and involvement were explained, discussed and debated at length. General mistrust of the community members dominated the course of the meetings. This was to be expected in view of the historical factors outlined above, and was an obstacle to be overcome.

Needs identification

The purpose of community partnerships is to address problems/needs by making people more aware of the realities of the communities around them. The identification of the needs of the partners is not a one-off process. It is continuous since new needs are continually identi-
fied as the programme develops. The initial needs assessment took place over a period of months and was characterised by a growing awareness of the mutual needs of the partners.

Needs of the community of Mangaung: A workshop was held in September 1991 with participants from 42 organisations in the Community of Mangaung, academics from the University and existing state structures, who identified health-care and related needs in the community and prioritised them. This step taken at an early stage of the process was successful, spelt out direction and gave the beneficiary community the opportunity to state their case. In all probability such an opportunity in their community was highly exceptional, and they accepted it with enthusiasm.

The problems and needs identified by the workshops were as follows (prioritized): poverty and disempowerment; basic needs such as housing, roads, water, sewerage disposal and electricity; recreational facilities; social services for women, children and the aged; social problems such as teenage pregnancies and substance abuse; lack of early learning opportunities and school readiness and adult illiteracy; and unsatisfactory health services relating to the unavailability and inaccessibility of services and the insensitivity of health-care personnel.

In addition to the needs identification workshops, a community profile was compiled early the next year. This was done by means of rapid assessment from existing resources as a significant amount of research had already been undertaken in Mangaung. Where the data was not generated by means of research or surveys, authoritative and knowledgeable persons in the community were consulted by means of interviews. This activity which involved members of several University departments and members of the Community Workgroup was a valuable activity for the extension and confirmation of the health-care needs of the community, an opportunity to expand knowledge on the strengths and weaknesses of the larger community in the area, as well as an opportunity to enhance communication between groups and establish linkages with the community. In contrast to their attitude to previous research projects undertaken in the area, the community was enthusiastic and cooperative regarding the research effort.

At this stage it was apparent, in view of the disadvantaged nature of the community of Mangaung, that it would not be possible to engage the community in a programme that addressed only their health-care needs. As indicated, the community perceived their urgent needs to be wider and higher in priority than health-care.

Needs of the Academic Workgroup: The needs of the Academic Workgroup were never formally identified, but crystallized as the programme developed. It became clear that a need had developed to become involved in community development within the framework of the programme philosophy and that knowledge and understanding of the community of Mangaung was a necessity. As partners, academics were in need of development to enable them to adapt their management style to the bottom-up participatory approach. Academics also expressed a desire to become more involved in support programmes for black students which had already been instituted in certain departments of the University, and to adapt the training of health-care professionals to meet the health-care needs of the community.

Needs of the health-care professionals: The health professionals welcomed the opportunity to become partners and were extremely enthusiastic about becoming involved from the outset and about learning more about the community, the processes of community involvement and participation. This programme opened up avenues that were previously unknown to them and presented an opportunity to enhance the credibility of health-care delivery and to get communities involved in the promotion of their health. As was the case with the academics, they also felt the need to adapt their management style.

In this phase of the programme the needs identification for all the partners culminated in the setting of clear objectives for the programme by the partners eighteen months after the first meeting was called:

- To establish an effective partnership between the community of Mangaung, the University of the Orange Free State and the health services in the area.
- To establish an effective primary health-care service for the community of Mangaung through intersectoral collaboration.
- To establish a community development programme for the community of Mangaung.
- To initiate affirmative action in the selection of students as well as to develop support programmes and bridging courses for disadvantaged students.
- To promote community-based training for the health-care professionals at the University and to adapt educational strategies accordingly.

Establishing organizational structures

Although an Academic Workgroup was constituted at the onset of the initiative, a need for liaison structures to drive and structure the process further and to represent other stakeholders had been felt since the onset. Arising from this, a steering committee was constituted with two lecturers of the Medical Faculty, one nursing lecturer from the Faculty of Social Sciences and two members from the community of Mangaung. The process was further structured when a Community Workgroup was constituted at the end of the first year, after several meetings with the community. Since its constitution this group has been meeting monthly, and serves as a means to provide the community with a platform to deliberate on all issues that affect the partnership and the community. Prior to the establishment of the Trust in October 1994, the business of the programme was handled by an acting director, the steering committee and the two workgroups.

During 1992 the MUCPP further developed an organizational structure and operationalized its activities by means of a series of working committees that remained responsible to the steering committee. A liaison committee was established between the University and the programme to facilitate decision-making and reporting. The appointment of community, training and health services coordinators as well as a secretary as permanent staff during this period was an important step to ensure the growth of the programme.

Involvement, participation and partnership

Although the community meetings were extremely well attended by the community and staff members of the health-care facilities in the area, the community initially responded cautiously to the concept of a partnership with the University. It was clear from the beginning that relationships of trust would have to be established prior to the establishment of partnerships. This process was slow and required a spirit of mutual respect and sensitization to the needs of the various partners. It was equally important to establish values such as commitment to a common task and ongoing commitment at all levels of community activity; sacrifice of individual interests if inconsistent with the ideals of the partners; sharing...
of a common vision leading to a contract and developing a common identity. This process had to be fostered and two successful workshops on community development and community partnerships, involving all partners, was held in the early stages of programme development.

Involvement and participation were also further strengthened by consultations with all University departments involved and regular meetings of the Academic Workgroup. The same strategy was followed for other community organizations and health-service providers in the area. Visits to other projects by the partners and attendance of workshops on partner-related issues by the members of the steering committee also proved to be very useful.

In these early phases it was already apparent that the involvement of the community of Mangaung would be one of the strong assets of the programme and that this commitment could become one of the major building blocks in its success. The strong element of community involvement built into this programme comes from the strong feelings that development and upliftment of the people is essential if the project is to succeed. It is a simple philosophy that puts power in the hands of ordinary people to enable them to control their own future and deal with their own problems. The group's negotiating skills and confidence in their own abilities have developed strongly. This was demonstrated when the community insisted on a logo for the programme, which with the vision statement of "HEALTH FOR ALL," was solely designed by the community and approved for implementation by the other partners.

However, during this period concerns were expressed that a lack of transparency and possible unknown factors might hamper progress. It was also felt that the community must be mobilized and should become a pressure group to advocate change and increase involvement of its members. Community members felt that they should be represented on the boards and structures of the University and that the influence and power of the educational institution should be directed to the needs of the community. The importance of communication and liaison, which includes the communication of information, good management and strategic planning, was also emphasized.

Although the health services have been enthusiastic in principle from the outset, the programme development went through a phase where community development and participation were fostered to such a degree that they (the health services) became marginalized to a certain extent. Uncertainty of the implications of the developments in the programme for their respective organizations could also have played a role. This was also true of the academics when members of the community became more and more involved in the development of the second proposal, which was submitted in July 1992 and approved for funding. During the development of the programme more funders came on board, and hence provided sustainability to the programme.

PHASE 3 - Ensuring ownership and governance of the programme by the partners, July 1992 to November 1994

During all stages of programme development it was assumed that significant control of the programme would rest with the community. The challenge was to create a structure that would not hamper the sensitivity of the process of programme development to the needs of the most vulnerable partners - those at grassroots level who use the service. This is extremely important, particularly for professionals who are inclined to implement strategies they regard as essential, and a combination of functional and logical structure. A pattern of relationships and a structured legal basis for the programme to ensure the autonomy, unique and separate identity, and local ownership of the programme had to be created. Several partner consultations with University legal advisers concluded that a trust would be a suitable legal entity for the community development programme.

The process of establishing a trust was an evolutionary and purposeful process characterized by wide consultation with the partners, and took 18 months. The first meeting of the Board of Trustees was held in October 1994, after the Trust Deed was registered with the Supreme Court. The Trust Deed was based on the fundamental operating philosophy that all its activities should be based upon shared decision-making, and in its operations it would comply with the following guiding principles: non-racialism, non-sexism, a sound developmental approach, improvement of the beneficiary community, equity, and at least 50% representation of the beneficiary community on all committees not otherwise designated in the Trust Deed. The Trust functions under a Board of Trustees which comprises 16 board members of whom 5 are University personnel, 3 health services members and 8 community members and a Management Committee which is equally represented by the three partners. Three forums (working groups) were constitutionally established where partners can discuss relevant issues and problems, namely the Community Working Group, the Academic Working Group and the Bloemfontein Health Services Forum. Other working and liaison committees were established according to needs.

Financial control of the programme rests with the Board of Trustees. The University undertook to ensure sound financial management of the funds. The establishment of a pattern of relationships among partners is indicated in Figure 1. The matrix structure is balanced compromise between functional and product organisation by superimposing a horizontal structure of authority, influence and communication on the vertical structure. In the arrangement shown, people assigned to the functional units on the vertical level not only belong to the functional unit, but also to a particular task group on the horizontal level. This kind of structure enables the units on the vertical level to utilize the services of specialists on the horizontal level, to adapt or respond to a rapidly changing and uncertain environment, to achieve optimum autonomy, and to communicate efficiently with one another.

With these organizational structures in place the steering committee which stood at the helm for three and a half years, was dissolved.

PHASE 4 - Building an infrastructure - 1994 ongoing

The serious lack of infrastructure in Mangaung made the building of a multi-purpose community health centre at a strategically placed site in Mangaung imperative. It would house a primary health-care service component, a primary health-care training and development component, and a community resource centre. It has been decided to approach governmental as well as nongovernmental sources for the funding of the centre. The University is also involved in other community-based research projects.

A centre/building committee was set up to determine the architectural needs of the respective partners. The concepts of building and planning were explained to the partners at a successful workshop. In addition the students of the Depart-
A matter that put the partnership to the test was the issue of ownership of the centre after the Provincial Administration undertook to build it. After a number of meetings, penetrating discussions and debating, the partners accepted that the Provincial Administration would retain ownership and that control of the centre would be vested in the Board of Trustees. This decision was a breakthrough in the attitude, nature and continuation of a true partnership and served as a watershed. This was also demonstrated by the Provincial Administration's agreement to appoint the architect chosen by the community.

Building of the multipurpose centre commenced early in 1997. In the interim phase temporary structures including offices, committee rooms, community hall and a kitchen had been erected on the site, whence the activities of the MUCPP, which were previously housed in the Medical Faculty of the University, are conducted. A temporary clinic was also erected on the site and was later expanded to a community health cen-
Figure 2: Integrated model for development
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SUPPORT DISCIPLINES
the lack of infrastructure which had to
tial process of structuring, as well as by
for preschool children; emergency care;
tise was available and where clear com­
with a number of departments of the
The aim of the various projects is to em­
ongoing
Putting plans into action commenced
Eight staff members were appointed
Community development
The aim of the various projects is to em­
power community people with skills and
knowledge in order to create employ­
ment for themselves. This was done in
a spirit of selfreliance, and in collaboration
with a number of departments of the
University and the Department of Trade
and Industry where the necessary expert­
tise was available and where clear com­
mitment to become involved in commu­
ity development was shown. These
activities also provided an opportunity for
intersectoral and interdisciplinary coo­
eration among departments. Examples
of such projects are: neurodevelopment
for preschool children; emergency care;
perinatal exercises and care of mother
and baby; treatment of minor ailments;
health workshops for the youth; geriat­
riric care; life-skills training; economic and
small business skills development; train­
ing in entrepreneurial skills; coffin-mak­
ing; welding; basic taxi service/car serv-
ience skills; chicken-farming; food gardens
and sewing and knitting club.
Through the community development
programme, community members are
also encouraged to participate in the
various structures of the MUCPP, to at­
tend workshops in the development of
leadership skills, to learn how to conduct
meetings and to learn about the roles of
office bearers.
The idea of starting cultural development
at the MUCPP was born out of the reali­
zation that the people's way of life influ­
ences their perception of the future as
well as their personal development. Af­
er consultation with community mem­
ers the MUCPP Youth Choir was estab­
lished. Preparations are under way for
starting an Afro-band.
The basic philosophy of rendering a
health service to the community of
Mangaug is that of a partnership be­
tween the health-care worker and the
service recipients. The community was
brought in as a partner through the struc­
tures of the MUCPP and the establish­
ment of community health committees,
which have now been formed through
the different areas of Mangaug. The
area committees determine the respec­
tive needs and problems of the areas
involved, and have so far embarked on
a number of projects, e.g. clean-up cam­
paigns and assistance to the aged. The
following subcommittees have been estab­
lished through their involvement; Health
Education, Traditional Health, Referrals,
Perinatal Committee and the
Committee for persons with disabilities
in the community.
The Youth Forum of the MUCPP felt
obliged to contribute constructively to
the normalization to the education in the
country. To this effect a Student Repre­
sentative Course was instituted, which
focused on the building and develop­
ment of mature sound leaders amongst
the youth. Other youth activities include
workshops on relevant health problems
amongst the youth, a speech contest
and the development of entrepreneurial
skills.
In 1996 a full-time Recreation and Sports
Officer was appointed to facilitate the
institution of a Sports and Recreation
Development Programme. This pro­
gramme is now offered at four different
sport centres in Mangaug. The school
children and community all benefit from
the activities offered at these centres.
Sport specialization is also done to
mould identified talented players into
possible national competitors.

Education and training of
University students and
research
Although some departments have al­
ready made curriculum changes in or­
der to ensure more community-based
training, the lack of clinical facilities in the
community, and logistical problems were
viewed as challenges.
A continuing education programmes for
midwives, conducted through self-study
modules in conjunction with skills work­
shops, commenced during 1994. Sev­
eral departments commenced pro­
grames on Video Supported Instruc­
tion in the same year to address the lan­
guage problems of disadvantaged stu­
dents.
The paradigm shift towards primary
health-care, necessitated a change in
educational strategy. The problem-
based teaching and learning strategy and
community-based approach to edu­
cation is advocated internationally as
suitable to support the philosophy of
primary health-care. This method was
successfully implemented as a pilot sur­
vey at postgraduate level in 1995 and
was instituted in the nursing undergradu­
ate programme in 1997.
Since 1997, with the organized input of
community members who operated
through the health street committees, in
collaboration with academic staff of the
School of Nursing, first-year nursing stu­
dents have been doing community pro­
files during the first-six months of their
training, and spending the next eighteen
months in community health settings.
The Departments of Social Work, Occu­
pational Health, Physiotherapy, Human
Nutrition and the School of Medicine are
planning and implementing a similar
approach in the training of students.
Where appropriate, students and aca­
demic staff are also involved in the com­
munity development projects men­
tioned, and in rendering health-care
services to the beneficiary community.
Selection criteria have been adapted to
address the potential of disadvantaged
students. Support programmes and
supplemental instruction through the
CAREER PREP PROGRAMME of the
Academic Development Bureau of the
University, the Educational Development
Division of the Faculty of Health Sci­
cences, as well as through academic de­
partments, were introduced to give stu­
dents the opportunity to develop to their
full potential. In addition the University
has adapted its language policy and
parallel-medium instruction has been
implemented to accommodate the language needs of non-Afrikaans speaking students. Bursaries are awarded to disadvantaged students to take care of their financial needs and encourage further development.

**International collaboration and research**

A formal agreement of research collaboration and community development was signed by the MUCPP, Centre of Behavioural Sciences of the Department of Psychology of the UOFS and the Institute of Families in Society at the University of South Carolina in the USA. Through this agreement vital research information is exchanged and relevant community-based programmes are facilitated.

**Sustainability Through Continuing Evaluation**

During the first three years of the programme, external evaluation was done by a part-time University industrial sociologist, but this task was later taken over by national and international consultants, appointed by the respective donors of the programme. This process is ongoing.

The aim of the performance evaluation is to initiate and develop an evaluation process by means of which performance could be assessed and corrective actions could be taken. In this respect evaluation is not seen as the sole responsibility of the external evaluator, but should be seen as a process of self-evaluation and development, and the role of the evaluator in this regard as a facilitator of the process of evaluation. The phases and activities of the evaluation process consist of: Phase 1 - Ongoing data-gathering and establishing a criterion. (This includes the development of a conceptual model with special reference to elements of the management subsystem and organisation culture); Phase 2 - Performance appraisal and analysis; Phase 3 - Feedback to all stakeholders, elaboration by these groups, discussions and work on information; and Phase 4 - Correction such as action planning and action intervention (Fischer 1994).

**Concluding Remarks**

The programme was initiated during the socio-political transition in the country and proved to be congruent with the philosophy of the health-care policies of the new government which came into power in 1994. We therefore believe that the programme could be a model for partnerships and the planning of future health-care and other services. Although the needs of all the partners are being addressed to a greater or lesser degree as the programme progresses, the time is now ripe to pay more attention to them as the structure has been consolidated.

From this programme key messages for possible success which emerged were: early vision and understanding; addressing the real needs; assuring ongoing participation; involvement of all the stakeholders; ensuring sustainability of the programme through the implementation of realistic time frames and funding cycles; the integration of other educational institutions, fields and Departments at the UOFS as well as building upon identified strengths and assets of the respective partners. The use of an integrated model with a holistic approach, contributed to this success (see Figure 2).

Deriving from this, the MUCPP was nominated and elected Institute of the Year in 1996 by the Bloemfontein Publicity Association. Five other projects have also received honours.

The expansion of the programme on a subregional/regional basis remains an important challenge and opportunity in the future of the programme.

There is no doubt that institutions of higher education, such as the University of the Orange Free State, are well suited to play a major role in national and community service and should be committed to do so. In its mission statement the University of the Orange Free State emphasises community service and development through its core functions of education, research and the implementing of community developmental programmes. The MUCPP is one of our best examples of such and integrated service.
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