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Summary

Because of the many problems relating to health care delivery in Africa, it is becoming apparent that neither the exclusive/monopolistic nor the tolerant legislative systems should be tolerated any longer. Especially since the Alma Ata Conference held by the WHO/UNICEF there has been growing impetus towards either inclusive/parallel (the beneficial co-existence of traditional and modern medical systems), or integrated systems. Although the idea of making traditional and modern medicine work in tandem in a united treatment context has its merits, it is also plagued by issues such as the nature of the products of an integrated training, resistance by stubborn protagonists of either of the two systems, or that only lip-service is paid to the idea of co-operation. Nevertheless, it is believed that all interest groups - the authorities responsible for health care delivery, the Western-trained health care workers, the traditional healers and the users of these services - stand to gain from such liaison.

Introduction

Traditional medicine is an ever present reality in both rural and urban societies and recognition of the fact that traditional healers constitute the main source of health care in the developing world has been long overdue. One reason for this is the naive presumption made by development planners that traditional healers would disappear as modern medical care became available. Despite the introduction of modern medicine by the colonial powers, inhabitants of Africa have never stopped utilising traditional medicine. With the advent of independence in the sixties Africans felt the need to rediscover their socio-cultural identity and traditional medicine, being an integral part of their cultural heritage, benefited from this. In addition economic circumstances after independence were such that imported techniques and medicines became less accessible to Africans. This situation forced the authorities to approach the problem from another angle by exploring the possible utilisation of indigenous sources, thereby establishing a new syncretic national health care delivery system.

The aim of this article is to probe the possibilities, merits and demerits of traditional and modern medicine working in tandem.

A MACRO PERSPECTIVE ON TRADITIONAL MEDICINE: LEGISLATIVE APPROACHES

The numerous legislative approaches to traditional medicine fall into four broad categories: exclusive systems, tolerant systems, inclusive systems and integrated systems (Stepan 1983:292-308).

The Exclusive (Monopolistic) Systems

In these systems only the practice of modern medicine is regarded as legal, while all other forms of healing are excluded. Within this category several patterns are distinguishable of which the following two perhaps are the most important: - a strict, total and enforced monopoly, and the socialist model. The former is to be found in the United States of America, France and Belgium, as well as in French and Belgian colonies in Africa, where legislation to this effect remained operative, at least in theory, after independence. The health care system found in Russia and the East-European Socialist countries is characterised by the exclusiveness of modern health care provided by the state. These developing countries which opted for a socialist system accordingly displayed a cautious, even slightly negative attitude towards certain aspects of traditional medicine.

The Tolerant Systems

A tolerant system amounts to a laissez-faire policy. In this case only the system based on allopathic medicine is recognised, while the existence and significance of the traditional sector virtually is ignored. Examples of such tolerant systems are to be found in the German Federal Republic, the United Kingdom and the Republic of South Africa. The tendency in Commonwealth countries to be more liberal in recognising traditional healers must be viewed in the light of the fact that former British colonial policy aimed at minimal interference with indigenous practices. In South Africa, the Council of Associated Health Professions, a statutory body not affiliated with the Medical and Dental Council, makes provision for the registration and control of traditional healers.

The Inclusive (Parallel) Systems

In these systems, traditions other than allopathic medicine are recognised legally, so that two or more systems of health care co-exist. In order to be eligible for such organisational inclusion, the particular traditional system has to be highly formalised; this implies that such a medical system must possess medical traditions, literature and teaching systems which can be accommodated.
A MICRO PERSPECTIVE ON TRADITIONAL MEDICINE

Utilisation Patterns
While policy makers with the aid of international bodies such as the World Health Organisation (WHO) only recently have begun contemplating the co-ordination and integration of traditional and modern medicine, the public have been utilising both without any problems for a very long time.

Utilisation patterns are established by persons moving from one sector of a health care system to another in search of diagnosis, healing or other medical services. A pattern is simultaneous when a patient exhausts the resources of one sector before going to the next. A utilisation pattern also may be interchangeable in that certain conditions/illnesses are taken for treatment to one sector, while the other sector is utilised in the case of other conditions/illnesses. The utilisation of Western medical services by non-Westerners does not mean that their own traditional ideas are abandoned. According to Foster and Anderson (in Jansen 1983:14) "... traditional peoples show great ingenuity in reconciling scientific medical practices with their own etiological systems".

The phenomenon of dual utilisation of medical resources is significant because it provides a basis for attempts at liaison between modern and traditional medicine. With this in mind and inst the background of the different legislative approaches, we can turn now to the ways in which traditional medicine can be made relevant in primary health care.

LIAISON BETWEEN TRADITIONAL AND MODERN MEDICINE

Though space does not allow discussion of the many problems relating to health care delivery in Africa, it may be stated because of these problems, it is becoming apparent that neither the exclusive (monopolistic) nor the tolerant legislative systems can be accommodated here any longer. Especially since the Alma Ata Conference held by the WHO/UNICEF (United Nations Children's Emergency Fund) in 1978, there has been a growing impetus towards either inclusive (parallel) or integrated systems. The former option comprises the legitimising of traditional medicine which could facilitate the beneficial co-existence of the two medical systems. The second option which is the integration of the two systems, has been advocated by the WHO. According to this organisation the two medical systems share sufficient commonality to facilitate integration.

From the above it is clear that each aspect of the traditional medical system may be linked to the modern medical system and vice versa. The counterpart of herbalism is to be found in pharmaceutical services, while traditional midwifery corresponds to the area of maternal and child health. The surgical aspects of modern medicine correlate with ritual manipulations such as bone-setting, blood-letting and foreign object extraction. The final category or the traditional taboos, which aim at prescribing appropriate health behaviour and prohibiting actions which may threaten health, corresponds to preventive health measures in the modern medical system (Harrison & Ulin in Spring 1980:58-59).

In order to create a new type of national health care delivery system, traditional medicine can be made relevant in either of the following two ways, viz. complementarity or integration.

COMPLEMENTARITY/CO-OPERATION

When this relationship exists, traditional and modern medicine co-exist as two independent sectors, each respecting the uniqueness of the other. Co-operation is a multi-faceted process, including aspects such as mutual referral (Yoder 1982), the professionalisation of traditional medicine (Staugard 1986) and intercalation (Yoder 1982).

Mutual referral
In order to effect co-operation between traditional and modern medical practitioners by means of a system of mutual referral, it is imperative that both types of healers take part in
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establishment of traditional healers associations. For some this process is an unacceptable option for any co-operation action because such professionalisation implies control and regulation of the activities of these healers by the authorities (MacCormack 1986:153; Staugard 1986:68). This process also could alienate the traditional healer socially and culturally from his local community as well as running the risk of forcing the traditional healer to concentrate on those elements of traditional medicine such as herbalism, which can be accommodated within the framework of modern medical thinking.

Because traditional medicine is dominated by preventive activities, professionalisation could result in the curative-biased methods of the modern health care sector being transferred to the traditional sector. Bringing together traditional healers within associations could also be one of many tactics to exclude them from primary health care training. A contrasting point of view is that inclusion of traditional healers in associations constitutes the only means of obtaining any say in health matters at the national level and thus real political power in society (Green 1988:1127).

Intercalation

When local non-modern medical traditions possess medicines of equal efficacy as drugs used in the modern sector, a kind of co-operation is possible whereby traditional medicines are intercalated into the armamentarium of the official medical sector. Intercalation is therefore the adoption of materia medica from the medical sector, not because they are more beneficial than similar drugs/medicines already existing in the pharmacopoeia of modern medicine (eg. rauwolfia), but because they correspond to these drugs. Intercalation of drugs could have an economic advantage in that fewer drugs need to be imported, leaving more funds which could be utilised towards the expansion of services.

INTEGRATION

Integration refers to the utilisation of traditional healers in the official medical sector as an innovative way to extend the availability of efficient medical services. According to Pillsbury (1982:1826), this entails the recruiting of traditional healers into a newly established scheme of community health care workers, and training them in a new repertory of tasks. This already has materialised in the successful integration of traditional midwives into the official medical sector in various countries.

ADVANTAGES OF LIAISON BETWEEN TRADITIONAL AND MODERN MEDICINE

For any linking programme to be successful it is imperative that four particular interest groups co-operate, viz. the authorities responsible for health care delivery, the health care workers trained in Western medicine, the traditional healers and the users of these services.

- Liaison between modern and traditional medicine can be fruitful in health programmes aimed at altering health behaviour or the attitudes of target groups.
- Liaison could improve health care knowledge, benefiting everyone, especially because of the inherent possibility of extended and more efficient population coverage.
- Apart from avoiding the problem of cultural or technological approaches irrelevant to the user, modern medicine could benefit from liaison when excessive demands on its inadequate supply of health care workers are relieved by the mobilization of indigenous health care resources.
- The users stand to gain most from such a venture. Accessibility, as well as the appropriateness of health care could improve substantially, while appropriate referrals in the case of serious or extraordinary conditions will become possible.
- Traditional healers being a mixed group with no standardised training and therefore no legal standing could benefit from liaison by augmenting their skills and knowledge, whether simply by contact with or by actual training in biomedical procedures. Additional skills could generate increased income.

PROBLEMS PERTAINING TO THE SUCCESSFUL LINKING OF MODERN AND TRADITIONAL MEDICINE

The idea of making traditional and modern medicine work in tandem in a united treatment context, has its merits. Yet it is also plagued by issues such as the unfamiliar products of an integrated training, resistance by stubborn opponents of either of the two systems or the possibility that only lip-service is paid to the idea of co-operation.

Despite the demise of colonialism in Africa and the revival of African socio-cultural identity, "ritualistic" admiration of Western culture still endures. Although African regimes have declared their willingness to accept and improve traditional medicine, this has not been incorporated into legislation. By 1983 only four of the 25 countries in the African sub-region for which data are available had passed legislation in this regard (Ramonhonsio 1983:213-214).

The different views regarding illness etiology, treatment methods, religious principles, morbidity and mortality held by the various functionaries of the two medical systems, constitute fundamental obstacles in the way of that necessary mutual understanding and recognition which could lead eventually to legitimising the traditional medical system. This state of affairs has implications for standardisation of the medicines and practices of traditional healers. Because of the fact that traditional medicine is governed by secrecy, these healers would be hesitant to divulge much information. Even if secrecy does not prove to be particularly problematic, standardisation of traditional medicines could be because of the considerable variation in the recipes of traditional healers. In addition to this, even the biomedically acceptable part of traditional medicines and practices could be based on the supernatural, making it unacceptable to those working in the modern medical sector.

The foresaid would imply the demystification of the traditional healing role in order to be incorporated meaningfully into a national health care system. This strategy however, contains certain negative aspects which cannot be denied. Demystification without careful reflection could make utilisation of traditional healers futile, and could even act as "...a kiss of death" (Staugard 1986:67), if, according to Rappoport (1980:92), "...the prop upon which his image rests have been neutralized or destroyed".

CONCLUSION

Opponents of traditional and modern medicine working in tandem, who are usually representatives of the conventional medical establishment, generally make much of the problems concerning the well-known potentially harmful effects of traditional medical practices. Opponents point to the immense cost involved in the testing and certifying of the efficacy of medicines, as well as the licensing and monitoring of practitioners. Contrary to these sentiments, the WHO (1978:18) regards the synthesis of traditional and modern medicine as the best possible way to realise the universally sought-after aim of "health for all by the year 2000". The African medical care blanket appears to be too small to cover the health care needs of all its inhabitants. Even if traditional and modern medicine seem to be strange bed-fellows, everything points not only to the possibility of liaising, but also to an imperative in this regard. For Elling (1981:90) "...[the question is not whether there will be accommodation with or development of and mergers with traditional approaches in much of the world; the question is how this will be done and what it will mean]."
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